

Chi Squared Tests (Contingency Tables) (From OCR 4734)

Q1, (Jan 2008, Q6)

(i)	$37 \times 58 / 120$ $17.883..$, 17.88 AG	M1 A1 2	Or equivalent												
(ii)	H_0 : Gender and shade are independent $(H_1$:--are not independent $3.02^2(14.02^{-1}+14.98^{-1}) +$ $6.12^2(17.88^{-1}+19.12^{-1})$ $+3.1^2(26.1^{-1}+27.9^{-1})$ $=6.03$ EITHER: CV 5.991 $6.03 > 5.991$, reject H_0 and accept that gender and shade are not independent OR: $P(\chi^2 > 6.03) = 0.049$ < 0.05 , reject H_0 and accept that gender and shade are not independent	B1 M1 A1 A1 B1 M1 A1√ 7 B1 M1 A1√	At least two correct All correct Ft X^2 . Can be assertive. Ft X^2												
(iii)	<table style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>G_1</td> <td>G_2</td> <td>G_3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>O</td> <td>29</td> <td>37</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>40</td> <td>40</td> <td>40</td> </tr> </table> $121/40 + 9/40 + 196/40$ $= 8.15$ Using $df = 2$ 2.5% tables, 1.7% calculator		G_1	G_2	G_3	O	29	37	54	E	40	40	40	M1 A1 M1 A1 M1 A1 6 (15)	For combining
	G_1	G_2	G_3												
O	29	37	54												
E	40	40	40												

Q2, (Jan 2010, Q7)

(i)	H_0 :Vegetable preference is independent of gender H_1 : All alternatives <table style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>E-Values</td> <td>26</td> <td>16.25</td> <td>22.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>22</td> <td>13.75</td> <td>19.25</td> </tr> </table> $\chi^2 = 5^2(26^{-1} + 22^{-1}) + 7.25^2(16.25^{-1} + 13.75^{-1})$ $+ 2.25^2(22.75^{-1} + 19.25^{-1})$ $= 9.641$ $9.64 > 5.991$ Reject H_0 , (there is sufficient evidence at the 5% that) vegetable preference and gender are not independent	E-Values	26	16.25	22.75		22	13.75	19.25	B1 M1 A1 M1 A1 A1 A1 M1 A1 8	For both hypotheses At least one correct All correct Correct form of any one All correct ART 9.64 OR: $P(\geq 9.641) = 0.00806 < 0.05$
E-Values	26	16.25	22.75								
	22	13.75	19.25								
(ii)	- $(H_0$: Vegetables have equal preference H_1 : All alternatives) Combining rows: 48 30 42 E-Values: 40 40 40 $\chi^2 = (8^2 + 10^2 + 2^2) / 40$ $= 4.2$ $4.2 < 4.605$ Do not reject H_0 , there is insufficient evidence at the 10% significance level of a difference in the proportion of preferred vegetables	M1 A1 M1 A1 M1 A1 6 [14]	OR: $P(\geq 4.2) = 0.122 > 0.10$ AEF in context								

Q3, (Jun 2010, Q3)

<p>(i) H_0: There is no association between the area in which a shopper lives and the day they shop $(H_1$: All alternatives) E-Values 27.3 14.7 37.7 20.3 $\chi^2 = (4.3-0.5)^2(27.3^{-1}+37.7^{-1}+14.7^{-1}+20.3^{-1})$ $= 2.606$ Compare with 2.706 Do not reject H_0. There is insufficient evidence of an association. SR: If H_0 association, lose 1st B1 and last M1A1</p>	<p>B1 M1 A1 M1 ft A1 A1 M1 A1 8</p>	<p>SR difference in proportions B1 define and evaluate p_1 and p_2 with H_0 B1 for $p=0.42$ M1A1 for $z = \pm 1.827$ or 1.835 (no pe) M1A0 Max 5/8 At least one E value correct (M1) All correct(A1) At least one χ^2, no or wrong cc, (M1FtE) All correct (A1); 2.606 or 2.61 (A1) Or use calculator ($p = 0.106$) SR: B1 if no explicit comparison, as Q2 SR: If H_0 association, lose 1st B1 and last M1A1</p>
<p>(ii) Conclusion the same since critical value > 2.706 (and test statistic unchanged)</p>	<p>B1 1</p>	<p>OR from $z=\pm 2.17$, SR</p>
[9]		

Q4, (Jan 2011, Q7)

<p>(i) In a 2×2 contingency table</p>	<p>B1 1</p>	<p>Or equivalent Accept $df=1$</p>
<p>(ii) H_0: Vaccine type and outcome are independent H_1: They are not independent E-values: 10.81 12.19 318.19 358.81 $\chi^2 = 7.69^2(10.81^{-1}+12.19^{-1}+318.19^{-1}+358.81^{-1})$ $= 10.67$ CV = 6.635 10.67 > CV Reject H_0, there is sufficient evidence at the 1% significance level that the outcome of the test depends on the vaccine used The results is significant at a level less than $\frac{1}{2}$ %, so the evidence is very strong</p>	<p>B1M*dep M1 A1 M1 M1 A1 B1 M1 A1√ dep*M A1 √ 10 [11]</p>	<p>Accept omission of H_1 1 correct E value Accept 1 dp 1 correct χ^2 value ft E values Using Yates' correctly Accept 10.7 $\sqrt{10.67}$ Sensible comment. $\sqrt{10.67}$</p>

Q5, (Jun 2012, Q2)

<p>(i)</p> <p>H_0: no association between sex and artist preferred H_1: some association between sex and artist preferred</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>EXPECTED</th> <th>Monet</th> <th>Renoir</th> <th>Degas</th> <th>Cézanne</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>12.13</td> <td>28</td> <td>13.07</td> <td>16.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td>13.87</td> <td>32</td> <td>14.93</td> <td>19.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>CONTRIB'N</th> <th>Monet</th> <th>Renoir</th> <th>Degas</th> <th>Cézanne</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>1.4081</td> <td>0.3214</td> <td>1.8626</td> <td>0.2881</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td>1.2321</td> <td>0.2813</td> <td>1.6298</td> <td>0.2521</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$X^2 = 7.28$ Refer to χ_3^2</p> <p>Critical value at 10% level = 6.251</p> <p>Result is significant</p> <p>There is evidence to suggest that there is some association between sex and artist preferred</p> <p>NB if H_0 H_1 reversed, or 'correlation' mentioned, do not award first B1 or final E1</p>	EXPECTED	Monet	Renoir	Degas	Cézanne	Male	12.13	28	13.07	16.8	Female	13.87	32	14.93	19.2	CONTRIB'N	Monet	Renoir	Degas	Cézanne	Male	1.4081	0.3214	1.8626	0.2881	Female	1.2321	0.2813	1.6298	0.2521	<p>B1 For both hypotheses in context</p> <p>M1 For expected values A2 (to 2 dp where appropriate) (allow A1 for at least one row or column correct)</p> <p>M1 For valid attempt at $(O-E)^2/E$ A2 For all correct (to 2 dp) and presented in a table or clear list. (Allow A1 for at least one row or column correct)</p> <p>B1 Allow 7.27 B1 for 3 deg of f</p> <p>B1 CAO for cv No FT from here if wrong or omitted, unless p-value used instead B1 FT their X^2</p> <p>E1 For correct (FT their X^2), non-assertive conclusion, in context.</p> <p>[12]</p>	<p>NB: These three marks cannot be implied by a correct final value of X^2</p> <p>www</p> <p>B1 for p-value = 0.0636</p>
	EXPECTED	Monet	Renoir	Degas	Cézanne																											
	Male	12.13	28	13.07	16.8																											
	Female	13.87	32	14.93	19.2																											
	CONTRIB'N	Monet	Renoir	Degas	Cézanne																											
	Male	1.4081	0.3214	1.8626	0.2881																											
	Female	1.2321	0.2813	1.6298	0.2521																											

<p>(ii)</p>	<p>Monet: More females and fewer males than expected prefer Monet, as indicated by large contribution(s) (of 1.4081 and 1.2321).</p>	<p>E1* E1dep*</p>	<p>FT their table of contributions</p>	<p>NB MAX 3/6 for answers not referring to contributions (explicitly or implicitly).</p>
	<p>Renoir: Preferences are much as expected, as indicated by small contributions.</p>	<p>E1</p>		
	<p>Degas: Fewer females and more males than expected prefer Degas, as indicated by large contribution(s) (of 1.8626 and 1.6298).</p>	<p>E1* depE1*</p>		
	<p>Cézanne: Preferences are much as expected, as indicated by small contributions.</p>	<p>E1</p>		
		<p>[6]</p>		<p>SC1 Renoir and Cézanne have correct comments for both but without referring to contributions</p>

Q6, (Jun 2013, Q6)

(i)	<p>17.5 4.2 6.3 32.5 7.8 11.7 oe</p>	<p>M1 A1 A1 [3]</p>	<p>eg $50 \times 28 \div 80$ At least 2 correct. All correct.</p>
(ii)	<p>The E value of $4.2 < 5$ Combine Biology and Chemistry (both sciences).</p>	<p>B1 B1 [2]</p>	<p>Need not mention 4.2 May need to look at (iii) to see which subjects combined.</p>
(iii)	<p>Ho: Subject and sex are independent H₁: They are not independent 21.7 6.3 40.3 11.7 $\chi^2 = (4.7 - 0.5)^2(21.7^{-1} + 6.3^{-1} + 40.3^{-1} + 11.7^{-1})$ = 5.558... (v = 1) (α) 2½ % CV = 5.024 5.558 > CV or in CR and reject H₀ (β) $P(\chi^2_1 \geq 5.558) = 0.0184$ < 0.025 and reject H₀ There is significant evidence that subject and sex are not independent</p>	<p>B1 B1 M1M1 A1 B1 M1 B1 M1 A1 [8]</p>	<p>oe. NOT 'variables', 'they' etc or 17.5 10.5 32.5 19.5 if C/A combined. No Yates (inc v > 1) or incorrect Yates (eg no modulus) M1M0. allow 6.96 or 6.79 Chem./Art combined B1B1M1M1A0B1M1A0. (TS = 3.75) fit TS & CV. Correct first conclusion. If C/A prob. accept H₀. cwo. NOT over-assertive. Thus no or incorrect Yates can score max 6/8 B1B1M1M0A1B1M1A0.</p>

Q7, (Jun 2016, Q2)

<p>H_0:there is no assoc. between party and opinion, H_1:there is assoc. between p/o. Expected frequencies 45, 18, 27, 20, 8, 12, 35, 14, 21 $\frac{(58-45)^2}{45} + \dots + \frac{(33-21)^2}{21}$ 30.48 TS > 13.28, reject H_0 There is evidence that there is an association between party and opinion.</p>	<p>B1 M1 A1 M1 A1 M1 A1 [7]</p>	<p>For both.Allow indpt. etc. At least one correct term; at least 7 terms. Allow awrt 30.5 CWO</p>	<p>If classes combined, all 6.</p>
--	--	---	------------------------------------

Q8, (Jun 2017, Q3)

<p>H_0: there is no assoc between hair/eyes colours. H_1: there is assoc</p>	<p>B1</p>		
<p>Es 30.16, 27.84, 21.84, 20.16</p>	<p>B1</p>		
<p>$(36 - 30.16 - 0.5)^2/30.16 + \dots$</p>	<p>M1</p>	<p>allow this mark if no Yates' correction.(5.61)</p>	<p>0.945+1.306+1.024+1.414</p>
<p>4.69</p>	<p>A1</p>		
<p>CV = 3.841</p>	<p>B1</p>		
<p>4.69 > 3.841, reject H_0.</p>	<p>M1</p>	<p>ft TS and CV</p>	
<p>There is evidence of an assoc. between hair/eye colours.</p>	<p>A1 [7]</p>	<p>cwo. Contextualised.</p>	